

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/551,409 | 12/20/2005 | Luciano Alcidi | 71977 | 4484 |
| 23872 MCGLEW & | 7590 02/20/200 FUTTLE PC | 8 | EXAM | IINER |
| P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227 | | | DICICCO, JOHN R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 3739 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551,409 ALCIDI, LUCIANO

| Interview Summary | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | JOHN R. DI CICCO | 4123 | | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>JOHN R. DI CICCO</u> . | (3) | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>BRIAN DUNCAN</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 13 February 2008. | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2) applicant's representative | e] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Wiksell et al. | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Amending claim 1 with regards to an active electrode having a skin contact surface for engagine the skin portion was discussed by the Attorney. The Examiner indicated the proposed amendment would not be patentably distinct. The after final amendment will not be entered as it would reise new issues that would receive a different interpretation of the prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | | |
| | /Michael Peffley/ | 720 | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art Unit 37 Examiner's signature, if requi | | | | | | |

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080214